



managed by Brookhaven Science Associates
 for the U.S. Department of Energy

Memo

date: September 2, 2009

to: RSC

from: D. Beavis 

subject: Low Energy RHIC Operations: External Dose from Collimators

The issue of external dose from the RHIC primary beam collimators is discussed in the context low energy beams. The external dose from the RHIC collimators was discussed by A.J. Stevens¹. The issues that will be examined for low energy RHIC beam are soil activation, dose on the berm, and skyshine to adjacent facilities and off-site.

The radiation issues for beam on the collimators were evaluated for 1.1×10^{14} Au ions at 100 GeV/u per year and 2.85×10^{10} Au ions at 100 GeV/u per hour. The issues that will be discussed in this note are all to transverse radiation. The results given in reference 1 can then be scaled as discussed in reference 2, i.e. with E^8 , where E is the kinetic energy per nucleon of the beam. The table below lists the equivalent loss of gold ions that the evaluation of reference 1 corresponds:

Au loss	Equivalence to Reference 1 loss		
	100 GeV/u	10.4 GeV/u	2.5 GeV/u
Au ions per year	1.1×10^{14}	6.7×10^{14}	2.1×10^{15}
Au ions per hour	2.85×10^{10}	1.7×10^{11}	5.4×10^{11}
FY10 Projection per hour (ref. 3)		2.7×10^{11}	3.75×10^{11}

The hourly loss rates for low energy operations in FY10 are given in the table and detailed in reference 3. The 10.4 GeV/u hourly loss projected exceeds that given for high energy operations. The dose rate related to higher hourly loss does not cause any area to exceed its posting. The accumulated dose on the berm for a year would be exceeded if the number of ions in a year exceeds that given in the table. Reference 1 gives a maximum dose from the collimator as producing 11 mrem in an hour at the peak of the radiation. Therefore the hourly dose rate is not relevant unless it is substantially above the numbers in the table above provided access to the fenced area is controlled as it is now.

The yearly rates impact both the evaluation of soil activation and yearly exposure levels to adjacent non C-AD area and off site. Reference 1 has the following dose in a year for the closest off site location and bldg 1101:

Location	Collimators mrem/year
Wm. Floyd Parkway	0.9
Bldg 1101	1.0

It can be noted that building 1101 is a C-AD storage building. The closest building that is used by non C-AD personnel is building 1005. This building is twice as far away from the collimators as the site boundary. The yearly dose at building 1005 will be nearly $1/10^{\text{th}}$ (0.13) of the yearly dose at the site boundary. Reference 1 noted that if the thickness of shielding was increased over the collimators than the dose at the site boundary would be 0.4 mrem/year. Based on the projections of reference 3 it would take about 100 days to reach this limit with 9 GeV beam and an entire year at 2.5 GeV with a 100% duty factor.

An administrative program should be implemented to ensure the amount of beam lost on the collimators per year does not exceed the equivalent limits previously considered and given in the table above. Further examination of the radiation dose could also be conducted if higher limits were desired. For initial operations the dose rates will be measure to provide a better estimate for future operations.

The groundwater estimate given in reference 1 was 48 pCi of ^{22}Na per cc of water, which is above the 20 pCi per cc limit established in the BNL SBMS⁴. A liner was added to the design of the RHIC facility. The liner has a width of 45 feet. The liner will prevent leaching for at least 10 feet beyond the tunnel wall. Every foot of soil will reduce the transverse radiation about 1/e so that after 10 feet we would expect concentrations to be 0.002 that of the tunnel wall or about 0.1 pCi of ^{22}Na . It would require 280,000 fills with the entire beam lost on the collimator for the leachate at the edge of the liner to approach the limit established in reference 4. In the forward direct the length of the liner is sufficient long that the curvature of the tunnel terminates the need for a liner. The soil activation near the primary collimators for low energy operations is not an issue.

Removable soil samples are presently used to monitor the potential exposure of the soil near the collimators. This practice will continue during the future low energy operations.

It should be noted that the issues of residual activation near the collimators or the energy deposition in the collimator are not addressed here.

References

1. A.J. Stevens, "Radiation Safety Considerations Near Collimators", [AD/RHIC/RD-113](#), April 1997.
2. D. Beavis, "[Extending Routine Operations of RHIC and AtR to Low Energies](#)", August 28, 2009.
3. T. Satogata, "RHIC [Low Energy Beam Loss Projections](#)", August 25, 2009.
4. <https://sbms.bnl.gov/sbmsearch/subjarea/40/1r09e011.pdf>

CC: T. Satogata
A. Pendzick
A. Drees
W. Fischer